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SRF Co-combustion at RWE

Outline of the presentation

> Co-combustion of secondary fuels in the RWE Power plants
  – Locations with SRF Co-combustion
  – Co-combustion in lignite fired power plants
  – Secondary fuels: properties and preconditions

> Test facilities for the Recofuel project
  – Description of the boilers
  – Installations for the tests with SRF
  – Operational results

> Summary of the operational experiences and perspectives
Co-combustion of secondary fuels derived from mixed solid wastes

Power plant locations of RWE Power AG

Werne
SRF * MBM

Westfalen
SRF * MBM, Sewage Sludge

Berrenrath
SRF * Sewage Sludge

ConTherm
Different lumpy mixed solid wastes

* SRF = Solid Recovered Fuels
Co-combustion in lignite - fired power plants

Power plant locations of RWE Power AG

- Frimmersdorf
- Wachtberg
- Weisweiler
- Berrenrath

**Permitted Capacity:**
- ca. 1.4 Mio. t/a

- Paper sludge
- Sewage sludge
- SRF * (Test)
- Sewage sludge

* SRF = Solid Recovered Fuels
Characterization of lignite and secondary fuels

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Run-of-mine lignite</th>
<th>Paper sludge</th>
<th>Sewage sludge</th>
<th>SRF (SBS1)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Moisture % wt</td>
<td>51 - 58</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ash % wt</td>
<td>2 - 5</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combustible % wt</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LHV kJ/kg</td>
<td>8.000 - 10.200</td>
<td>ca.3.800</td>
<td>ca. 1.700</td>
<td>ca.14.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biogenic share % C</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>ca. 60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorine mg/kg db</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>1.200</td>
<td>4.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reference Values
Some Advantages of the co-combustion in power plants

> Saving of primary energy
   – Combustion of SRF in power plants with high efficiency (high steam parameters)
   – Saving of fossil fuels (CO₂-reduction and preservation of resources)
   – Also secondary fuels with low heating value can be used for energy production (sewage sludge, paper sludge)

> Economical advantages
   – Waste incineration is more expensive
   – Shortage in waste utilisation can be solved
   – Efficient energy use without subsidy

> Environmental effects
   – New emission limits are lower than the standard emission limits
   – Operational emissions are in the normal range or lower
Difficulties with secondary fuels

- Lumpy or granular secondary fuels are difficult to grind
  => problems in PC firing systems => preferable use in CFB boilers
- Secondary fuels contain normally more impurities and higher ash contents than fossil fuels
  => increased wear => increased costs for maintenance and troubleshooting
- Secondary fuels mostly have an increased chlorine content and a low ash melting point
  => Some power plants (not RWE), which co-combust SRF, have serious problems which slagging, fouling and chlorine corrosion
  => at RWE Power this issue has been studied in detail since many years

→ The technical feasibility of co-combustion must be investigated for each secondary fuel individually with respect to the boundary conditions on site
Preconditions for the co-combustion of secondary fuels in power plants

> Production and availability at the power plant must be assured at any time

> Authorisation procedure for a permission to use secondary fuels
  – Public procedure with Environmental Impact Assessment
  – New Emission Limits (17.BImSchV, max. 25%-share of Heat Input)

> Economic boundary conditions
  – RWE Power uses secondary fuels only in combination with an additional payment
  – This additional payment is necessary for the investment and for the additional operational costs

> Note: the co-combustion of secondary fuel is only a small additive business compared to the production of electricity
SRF Co-combustion at the Weisweiler Power plant

Pulverised fuel firing system

2 x 600 MW el
Co-combustion of SRF at the Weisweiler Power Plant

Process scheme: Unloading – Firing system – Flue gas treatment
Weisweiler Power plant

Existing Paper Sludge Handling System for discharge and metering
Steam generator
Unit G +H, Weisweiler
Sectional view

Steam data:
- 525 kg/s
- 530 °C
- 173 bar

Fuels:
- Lignite
- Paper sludge
- SRF (test)

Boiler efficiency: 87.1%
Coal mill – Sectional View
Alumina deposits in the coal mills

Note: Deposits occurred only in the first test period
SRF Co-combustion at the Weisweiler power plant
Fuel input during the large-scale tests

Total fuel input during the test period in March 2005

- SRF: 4,200 t
- Paper sludge: 13,300 t
- Lignite: 345,000 t

Hourly fuel input (in total for Unit G and H)

- SRF
  - at 2% share of heat input: ca. 21 - 24 t/h
  - at 4% share of heat input (at times): ca. 40 - 46 t/h
- Paper sludge: ca. 55 t/h
- Lignite: ca. 1,500 t/h
SRF Co-combustion at the Weisweiler power plant
Results from the large scale tests

- Preparation and composition of the SRF
- Unloading and handling of the SRF
- Conveying and Feeding
- Combustion behaviour (Ignition and burn out)
- Capture of pollutants into the ash and the gypsum
- Reduced emission limits (17. BImSchV)
- Properties of ashes for landfill

Co-combustion of SRF is feasible under the aspects „Environmental impact“ and „Technology“
SRF co-combustion at the Berrenrath co-generation power plant
Technical data of Berrenrath CFB boiler

Steam data:
- 77.8 kg/s
- 510 °C
- 90 bar

Fuels:
- Lignite
- Sewage sludge
- Lignite sludge
- SRF

Boiler efficiency: 91.4%
Circulating Fluidized Bed Firing System
Combustion chamber with nozzle grate
SRF- and Sewage sludge co-combustion at Berrenrath cogeneration power plant

Process scheme: Unloading – Firing system – Flue gas treatment
General diagramm of the installations for SRF (originally designed for waste wood)
Installations for SRF handling and feeding

Buffer silo

Pneumatic feeding system
Detected types of impurities in the SRF

(Note: Situation in the first test period)
Plugging of the nozzle grate after one of the first SRF co-combustion periods

Note: Situation has been improved significantly
Analysis of corroded superheater tubes after a previous period of SRF co-combustion
SRF Co-combustion at the Berrenrath power station

Fuel input during the large-scale demonstration period

Typical hourly fuel input for Unit 2

> SRF (ca. 15% share of heat input)  ca. 5 - 6 (=max) t/h
> Sewage sludge  ca. 20 t/h
> Lignite  ca. 60 t/h

Total fuel input during the large-scale demonstration
(in total for Unit 2 and 3, key date 18.5.08)

> SRF  80.400 t
> Sewage Sludge  297.900 t
> Lignite  1.146.000 t

Co-combustion of SRF saved more than 80.000 t of CO2
Optimisation measures at the Berrenrath power plant during the Recofuel project

> Improvement of the SRF feeding
  – Refitting of 2 additional pneumatic feeding lines due to a better distribution of the SRF in the combustion chamber

> Online – monitoring of the SRF quality
  – HCl-measuring device in the hot flue gas after cyclon
  – Refitting of a NIR-system at the SRF handling system
Improvement of the SRF feeding:
Refitting of 2 additional pneumatic feeding lines

Source: Remondis
New HCl-Measurement after cyclon

- HCl after left cylon
- HCl after right cylon
- HCl at stack
Operational experiences

- No problems occurred concerning the combustion of SRF together with lignite
- CFB firing systems cope with much higher shares of SRF than PC firing systems
- Design of the feeding, metering and handling systems has to account for the special demanding mechanical properties of the SRF, in other respects the installations are relatively simple
- Impurities (foreign material) cause increased wear and operating trouble, meanwhile the problem was reduced significantly during the project
- At the CFB: no significant increase in fouling of the heating tubes
- Due to avoid high temperature chlorine corrosion, it is imperative to carry out preexaminations and to define the required quality specifications for the SRF

**Co-combustion of Solid Recovered Fuels with low chlorine content (SBS1) is possible at a specific amount**
Summary of the operational results regarding the large-scale tests in the Recofuel project

> **Experiences with different Firing Technologies**
  - Low NOx pulverized fuel system
  - Circulating Fluidized Bed system

> **References for retrofitting feeding systems**
  - Feeding via existing belt conveyor
  - Feeding with additional pneumatic conveyor

> **Experiences with secondary fuels**
  - Quality requirements for SRF
    (Impurities, Chlorine, Aluminia, Alkalis)
  - Quality monitoring
  - Combination with other secondary fuels

> **Environmental aspects**
  - No negative impact on flue gas emissions
  - CO$_2$-reduction and preservation of resources
Future Development and Exploitation Plans with SRF in the RWE lignite power plants

- Continuous operation of SRF Co-combustion in Berrenrath with 60,000t/a SRF
  - Improvement of availability and capacity utilisation by lessons learned during the Recofuel project

- Feasibility study for additional CFB boiler

- No further projects with SRF co-combustion in PC boilers with renish lignite
  - Inappropriate lignite quality (low sulphur, low ash, high alkali content) for a combination with SRF regarding slagging, fouling, corrosion
  - Large capacity baseload units (300 – 1000 MW) of the RWE PC boilers are economical critical due to a potential corrosion risk at high steam temperatures (525 – 600°C) and a 3 years revision schedule
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